



CASP Checklist: 11 questions to help you make sense of a Randomised Controlled Trial

How to use this appraisal tool: Three broad issues need to be considered when appraising a trial:

Are the results of the study valid? (Section A)

What are the results? (Section B)

Will the results help locally? (Section C)

The 11 questions on the following pages are designed to help you think about these issues systematically. The first three questions are screening questions and can be answered quickly. If the answer to both is "yes", it is worth proceeding with the remaining questions. There is some degree of overlap between the questions, you are asked to record a "yes", "no" or "can't tell" to most of the questions. A number of italicised prompts are given after each question. These are designed to remind you why the question is important. Record your reasons for your answers in the spaces provided.

About: These checklists were designed to be used as educational pedagogic tools, as part of a workshop setting, therefore we do not suggest a scoring system. The core CASP checklists (randomised controlled trial & systematic review) were based on JAMA 'Users' guides to the medical literature 1994 (adapted from Guyatt GH, Sackett DL, and Cook DJ), and piloted with health care practitioners.

For each new checklist, a group of experts were assembled to develop and pilot the checklist and the workshop format with which it would be used. Over the years overall adjustments have been made to the format, but a recent survey of checklist users reiterated that the basic format continues to be useful and appropriate.

Referencing: we recommend using the Harvard style citation, i.e.: Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2018). CASP (insert name of checklist i.e. Randomised Controlled Trial) Checklist. [online] Available at: URL. Accessed: Date Accessed.

©CASP this work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution - Non-Commercial-Share A like. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncsa/3.0/ www.casp-uk.net



Section A: Are the results of the trial valid?				
Did the trial address a clearly focused issue?	Yes Can't Tell No	HINT: An issue can be 'focused' In terms of  the population studied  the intervention given  the comparator given  the outcomes considered		
Comments:				
2. Was the assignment of patients to treatments randomised?	Yes Can't Tell No	HINT: Consider  • how this was carried out  • was the allocation sequence concealed from researchers and patients		
Comments:				
3. Were all of the patients who entered the trial properly accounted for at its conclusion?	Yes Can't Tell No	<ul> <li>HINT: Consider</li> <li>was the trial stopped early</li> <li>were patients analysed in the groups to which they were randomised</li> </ul>		
Comments:				
Is it worth continuing?				



4. Were patients, health workers and study personnel 'blind' to treatment?	Yes	
	Can't Tell	
	No	
Comments:		
5. Were the groups similar at the start of the trial	Yes Can't Tell No	HINT: Consider  • other factors that might affect the outcome, such as; age, sex, social class
Comments:		
6. Aside from the experimental intervention, were the groups treated equally?	Yes Can't Tell No	
Comments:		
Section B: What are the results?		



7. How large was the treatment effect	:t?	HINT: Consider  • what outcomes were  measured  • Is the primary outcome clearly  specified  • what results were found for  each outcome
Comments:		
8. How precise was the estimate of the treatment effect?		HINT: Consider  • what are the confidence limits
Comments:		
O Mellal a servite help locally		
Section C: Will the results help locally	(?	
9. Can the results be applied to the local population, or in your context?	Yes Can't Tell No	<ul> <li>HINT: Consider whether</li> <li>the patients covered by the trial are similar enough to the patients to whom you will apply this</li> <li>how they differ</li> </ul>
Comments:		
10. Were all clinically important outcomes considered?	Yes Can't Tell No	<ul> <li>HINT: Consider whether</li> <li>there is other information you would like to have seen</li> <li>if not, does this affect the decision</li> </ul>
Comments:		



11. Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?	Yes	<ul><li>HINT: Consider</li><li>even if this is not addressed by the</li></ul>
	Can't Tell	trial, what do <b>you</b> think?
	No	
Comments:		